ON SOME HSU-STRUCTURE AND H-STRUCTURE MANIFOLDS WITH CONSTANT HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE

Virendra Nath Pathak, Geeta Verma

Abstract—In this paper I have studied Hsu-structure & H-structure manifolds and obtained with constant holomorphic sectional curvature

Index Terms— H-structure manifold, Hsu-structure, Curvature tensor almost complex, almost product and almost tangent structures.

Mathematical Classification: 53C15, 53C25

I. INTRODUCTION

Structures on differentiable manifolds by introducing vector-valued linear functions satisfying some algebraic equations have been studied by a number of mathematicians. K. L. Duggal in [1] defined on a differentiable manifold Hsu-structure which is more general than almost complex , almost product and almost tangent structures.

Let there be defined on $\,V_n$, a vector valued linear function F of class C such that

$$F^2 = a^r I_n \qquad 0 \le r \le n$$

where r is an integer and a is real or imaginry number. Then F is called Hsu – structure and V_n is called the ${\bf Hsu}$ – ${\bf structure}$ manifold.

Let M be a n-dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ . A vector-valued linear function F of class C^∞ is defined on M such that

$$F^2(X) = a^r X \tag{1.1}$$

where X is an arbitrary vector field and a is any real or purely imaginary number. Then F is said to give a differentiable structure called Hsu-structure on M Defined by (1.1). If $a^{r/2} \neq 0$ we have the known π -structure [3], if $a^{r/2} = 0$ we have an almost tangent structure. For $a^{r/2} = \pm 1$ or $a^{r/2} = \pm \sqrt{-1}$ we obtain an almost product or almost complex structure respectively.

Suppose further that M admits a Hermitian metric g satisfying

Manuscript received Jan 15, 2015

Virendra Nath Pathak, Department of Mathematics, Shri Ramswaroop Memorial Group of Professional Colleges, Tewariganj, Faizabaad Road, Lucknow, India

Geeta Verma, Department of Mathematics, Shri Ramswaroop Memorial Group of Professional Colleges, Tewariganj, Faizabaad Road, Lucknow, India

$$g(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + a^r g(X, Y) = 0$$
 (1.2)

where $\overline{X} = FX$ and X, Y are vector fields on M. Then, we say that (g, F) gives to M an H-structure and M is called K-manifold.

If the structure tensor F is parallel (i.e. $(\nabla_X F)Y = 0$ where ∇ is the Riemannian connection), then M is called K-manifold.

An H-structure manifold M will be called nearly K-manifold (briefly NK-manifold) if the structure tensor F satisfies the condition $(\nabla_X F)X = 0$, for arbitrary vector field X on M

In the present article we deal with some 2m-dimensional H-structure manifolds. In the next paragraph we shall study an H-structure manifold admitting pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature . In the later we obtain the main result of the present paper on NK-manifolds.

II. ON H-STRUCTURE MANIFOLDS

On a 2m-dimensional H- structure manifold M we consider a (0, 2) tensor such that

$$\phi(X,Y) = g(\overline{X},Y) = -g(X,\overline{Y}) \tag{2.1}$$

It is easy to prove that the following results

$$\phi(X,Y) + \phi(Y,X) = 0$$
 (2.2)

$$\phi(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + a^r \phi(X, Y) = 0$$
 (2.3)

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_X \phi)(Z, Y) = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) = a^r (\nabla_X \phi(Y, Z)) \tag{2.5}$$

We denote by $(W, X, Y, Z) = g((\nabla_W F)X, \nabla_Y F)Z$ and because of (2.2), (2.3) we obtain

$$(W, X, Y, Z) = (Y, Z, W, X)$$

$$(W, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Z}) = -a^{r}(W, X, Y, Z)$$

$$(W, \overline{X}, Y, Z) = -(W, X, Y, \overline{Z})$$

$$(2.6)$$

We assume that the curvature tensor R is defined by

ON SOME HSU-STRUCTURE AND H-STRUCTURE MANIFOLDS WITH CONSTANT HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE

$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z$$

and

$$R(W, X, Y, Z) = g(R(W, X)Y, Z)$$

for arbitrary vector fields W,X,Y and Z on M.

The holomorphic sectional curvature H(x) is defined by

$$H(x) = R(x, x, x, x) / g(x, x)g(x, x)$$
(2.7)

for $x \in T_n(M), (p \in M)$ where $T_n(M)$ is the tangent space of M at p.

Theorem 2.1

Let M be an H-structure manifold of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature c(p). Then

$$4a^{r}c(p)[2\phi(x,y)\phi(z,w) - \phi(x,w)\phi(y,z) + \phi(x,z)\phi(y,w) + a^{r}g(x,w)g(y,z) - a^{r}g(x,z)g(y,w)]$$

$$= -3a^{2r}R(w,x,y,z) - 3R(\overline{w},\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z}) + a^{r}R(\overline{w},\overline{x},y,z) + a^{r}R(w,x,\overline{y},\overline{z}) - a^{r}R(\overline{w},x,\overline{y},\overline{z})$$

$$+ 3a^{r}R(\overline{w},x,y,\overline{z}) + 3a^{r}R(w,\overline{x},\overline{y},z) - a^{r}R(w,\overline{x},y,\overline{z}) + 3a^{r}R(w,y,x,z) + 3R(\overline{w},y,\overline{x},\overline{z})$$

$$- a^{r}R(\overline{w},\overline{y},x,z) - a^{r}R(w,y,\overline{x},\overline{z}) + a^{r}R(\overline{w},y,x,z) - 3a^{r}R(\overline{w},y,x,z) - 3a^{r}R(w,y,x,z)$$

$$+ a^{r}R(w,y,x,\overline{z})$$

$$(2.8)$$

Proof: Since H(x) = c(p), (2.7) takes the form

$$R(x, \bar{x}, x, \bar{x}) = c(p)g(x, x)g(\bar{x}, \bar{x})$$
 (2.9)

By linearizing (2.9) and using Binachi identity, we get

$$4a^{r}c[g(x,y)g(z,w) + g(x,z)g(y,w) + g(x,w)g(y,z)$$

$$= R(\overline{w},\overline{x},y,z) - 2R(\overline{w},x,\overline{y},z) + R(\overline{w},x,y,z) + R(\overline{w},x,y,z)$$

$$-2R(\overline{w},x,y,z) + R(\overline{w},x,y,z) + R(\overline{w},y,x,z) - 2R(\overline{w},y,x,z)$$

$$+ R(\overline{w},y,x,z) + R(\overline{w},y,x,z) - 2R(\overline{w},y,x,z) + R(\overline{w},y,x,z)$$
(2.10)

In (2.10) we replace Y and W by \overline{Y} and \overline{W} and in the resulting equation we replace X and Y by Y and X respectively. Adding the last two equations we obtain (2.8).

We can choose an orthonormal frame field
$$\{E_1,\ldots,E_m,E_{m+1},\ldots,E_{2m} \text{ such that } E_{m+i}=\sqrt{-1}E_i/a^{r/2}, i=1,\ldots,m\}$$

We denote by k and k^* the Ricci tensor and the $Ricci^*$ tensor of M, respectively. The $Ricci^*$ tensor k^* is defined by

$$k^*(x,y) = traceof(z \to R(\overline{z},x)\overline{y})$$

for $x, y, z \in T_p(M)$.

Lemma 2.2

If M is an H-structure manifold and $\{E_i\}$ is an orthonormal frame field, for arbitrary vector fields X, Y on M we have

76

International Journal of Engineering Research And Management (IJERM) ISSN: 2349-2058, Volume-02, Issue-01, January 2015

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2m} R(X, \overline{E}_i, Y, \overline{E}_i) = -a^r \sum_{i=1}^{2m} R(X, E_i, Y, E_i)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2m} R(X, E_i, Y, \overline{E}_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} R(X, \overline{E}_i, Y, E_i)$$

Proof: The proof depends on the above way of the determination of the orthogonal frame field $\{E_i\}$. We can easily prove the following.

Lemma 2.3

Let M be an H-structure manifold. Then for arbitrary vector fields X, Y on M. We have

$$k(X,Y) = k(Y,X), k^*(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) = -a^r k^*(Y,X), k^*(\overline{X},Y) = -k^*(\overline{Y},X)$$

We denote by s and s^* the scalar and the *scalar curvature of M respectively. Then, using the theorem 2.1 and the lemma 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain .

Proposition 2.4

Let M be a 2m –dimensional H-structure manifold of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature c(p). Then , for arbitrary vector fields X, Y on M, we have

$$a^{r}k(X,Y) - k(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) - 3[k^{*}(X,Y) + k^{*}(Y,X)] = 4(m+1)c(p)a^{r}g(X,Y)$$
$$a^{r}s - 3s^{*} = 4m(m+1)a^{r}c(p)$$

The main result of the second paragraph (theorem 2.1 and proposition 2.4) for $a^r = -1$ have been obtained by G.B.Rizza in [4] (fundamental identity (11) and theorem 1).

3. ON NEARLY K-MANIFOLDS

We denote by $(W,X,Y,Z)=g((\nabla_W F)X,(\nabla_Y F)Z)$. By definition of the NK-manifold and the curvature tensor R we obtain that : $R(W,X,Y,Z)-R(W,X,\overline{Y},\overline{Z})$ depends on the quantities : $(W,X,Y,Z),(W,Y,X,Z),(W,Z,X,Y),(W,X,Y,\overline{Z}),(W,Y,X,\overline{Z})$ and (W,Z,X,\overline{Y}) . Applying the fundamental properties of R(W,X,Y,Z) we obtain .

Proposition 3.1

Let M be a NK-manifold. If W, X, Y and Z are arbitrary vector fields on M, then

$$R(W, X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{a^r - 3} [2(W, X, Y, Z) + (W, Y, X, Z) - (W, Z, X, Y)]$$

$$R(W, X, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) = \frac{1}{a^r - 3} a^{r/2} [2(W, X, Y, Z) - (W, Y, X, Z) + (W, Z, X, Y)]$$

Using the proposition 3.1 and the definitions of the Ricci tensor and the Ricci*tensor we get the following.

Lemma 3.2

For arbitrary vector fields X and Y on a NK-manifold it holds:

$$k(X,Y) = \frac{1}{a^r - 3} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (X, E_i, Y, E_i)$$

$$k(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = -a^r k(X, Y), \quad k^*(X, Y) = k^*(Y, X)$$

$$k^*(X, Y) = \frac{1}{a^r - 3} a^r k(X, Y)$$

ON SOME HSU-STRUCTURE AND H-STRUCTURE MANIFOLDS WITH CONSTANT HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE

By virtue of the first relation of proposition 2.4, the lemma 3.2 and [2] (p.292) we can obtain the main result :

Theorem 3.3

If M is a 2m-dimensional connected NK-manifold of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature, then M is an Einstein manifold.

For NK-manifolds of small dimension we can state the following.

Proposition 3.4

A NK-manifold M of dimension n = 2,4 is a K-manifold.

Proof

It is clear that a 2-dimensional NK-manifold is a K-manifold.

If M is a 4-dimensional NK-manifold, we choose an orthonormal frame field on an open subset of M to be of the from

78

$$\left\{E_{1}, E_{2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{a^{r/2}} \overline{E}_{1}, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{a^{r/2}} \overline{E}_{2}\right\}.$$

We can easily prove that $(\nabla_{\scriptscriptstyle E_1} F) E_2$ is perpendicular to $\,E_1$ and $\,E_2$. Because of

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) = a^r (\nabla_X \phi)(Y, Z) = -a^r (\nabla_X \phi)(Z, Y)$$

It is proved that $(\nabla_{E_1} F) E_2$ is perpendicular to $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{a^{r/2}} \overline{E}_1$ and $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{a^{r/2}} \overline{E}_2$.

Hence

$$(\nabla_{E_i} F) E_j = 0, \quad (i, j = 1, 2)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. L. DUGGAL, On differentiable structures defined by algebraic equations, I. Nijenhuis tensor. Tensor N.S. 22 (1971), 238 -242.
- [2] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry, I, J. Wiley, New York, 1969.
- [3] G. LEGRAND, Sur les varietes a structure de Presque prouit complexe, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 242 (1956), 335-337.
- [4] G. B. RIZZA, On almost Hermitian manifolds with constant holomorphic curvature at a point, Tensor N.S. 50 (1991), 79-89.