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Abstract— The main aim of this paper is to investigate the latest developments in European Union (EU), the new corporate governance schemes, as they are imposed by the capital markets and the corporate restructuring in the shipping sector in the new European financial environment (Basel I, II and III). European Monetary Union (EMU) is expected to influence the corporate governance and the corporate structure of the financial institutions by imposing new borrowing criteria for major clients among them shipping companies. Immediately after the establishment of EMU some new initiatives have been approved leading to a better system in terms of corporate structure and control. Among these initiatives were, an action plan for financial services, concerning the corporate law and the corporate governance for the enforcement of the obligatory accounting control and some initiatives related to structural organization in all borrowing stages. These developments forced the financial institutions to impose new borrowing criteria as well as more detailed controls in loans. Shipping industry, a highly capital intensive sector, has to follow closely these developments in order to keep in track with the banking industry. In addition shipping industries have to adjust their organizational schemes to fulfil these requirements in the best possible way.

The present study grasps on a doctoral thesis aiming to analyze the adjustments associated with these developments of the Greek owed shipping companies and how these adjustments have been evaluated by the top management based on a market research we have contacted.

Index Terms— Corporate Governance, corporate restructuring, shipping industry, EMU, European banking industry

JEL classification: G3, F3, M14

I. INTRODUCTION

The foundation and the establishment of European Monetary Union (EMU) in 1999 commences an era where both monetary and fiscal policies in the Eurozone became more coordinated. Capital markets represent the economic conditions in each country and thus capital markets in EMU should be more integrated as a result of more similar conditions across the countries. Additionally, during recent years there has been a positive progress towards financial integration in the EU with the implementation of single market legislation. Corporate governance has been a crucial issue regarding the independent performance of public and private industries in Eurozone among them the shipping industry as well. Moreover, the shipping industry had to adjust its structure to a modern more legislative way compare to the period before the European integration.

The EU’s stock markets are still governed by different legal systems and other major obstacles such as legal, regulatory, tax or technical obstacles to cross border activity within the EU result in some degree of segmentation.

To date, several methods have been developed in dealing with this challenge. The fields of international macroeconomics and international finance have developed differently but related methodologies to test financial integration, ranging from simple empirical methodology tests to more complex models such as time series models, asset pricing models and others.

Therefore, in order to investigate the macroeconomic and financial environment where a shipping company operates, it is required to check the surrounding measures related to recent developments either from international institutions, organizations, public authorities or the private sector regarding the methodologies of evaluation that have been used immediately after the harmonization in Eurozone.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The importance given to the subject of corporate governance and corporate restructuring in shipping reflected by the extensive and recent research on business issues such as organization, leadership, ownership structure, strategy development and financial management. Despite the growing stream of related studies, research on corporate governance in shipping sector remains still understudied. The empirical findings of the reviewed studies should be treated with caution, since theoretical frameworks in corporate governance have been in progress. The most important findings based on recent literature is analyzed as follows.

Syriopoulos and Tsatsaronis (2011) supported that a fundamental prerequisite for a shipping company to get listed on a stock market is its compliance with a core set of practices of corporate governance. They said that the effectiveness of corporate governance mechanisms has been a matter of debate in academic research with contradictory empirical conclusions. The main internal control mechanism, namely managerial monitoring by BoDs, has been criticized for being ineffective and the directors for being “hostages” of CEOs, whom they are supposed to monitor.

They also proved that in many countries with a large maritime sector, family-owned firms account for the largest proportion of maritime business. This seems to apply both for listed and
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non-listed maritime companies. Many of the firms have been able to remain family owned for decades despite high risk, volatility and capital intensity. This is the case in Greece where the great majority of shipping firms are family-owned, basing their internal integration on a strong corporate culture. Koulopoulos et al. (2010) indicate the current corporate governance practices in Hellenic shipping companies illustrating the roles of Board of Directors in the corporate strategy process, the relationship between the board, the CEOs and the top management. They have also evaluated the importance of each component of Corporate Governance in the performance of the company.

Syriopoulos (2010) found that shipping companies, which were previously private and family-owned firms, are transformed into publicly listed, in well-established stock exchanges being multi-shareholder entities after some years of operation especially in the last two decades. Merikas et al. (2012) have argued that shipping companies have to adjust to a dynamic and rapidly changing environment, appropriate financial methods and tools. In addition they should be available to increase funding and implementation of vital investment funds, to seek for new innovative financial ways and to adjust their financial structure according to the latest developments in the international capital markets.

Solomon (2007) argued that there was a change towards a stakeholder-centric perspective as some researchers contend that the traditional Anglo-American view of the firm's objectives is too narrow and it should be extended in order to include the interests of other non-shareholding stakeholders such as employees, community groups, governments.

According to the Green Paper ‘Promoting a European framework for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)’ by the European Union, CSR is essentially a concept whereby companies decide voluntarily to contribute to a better society and a cleaner environment and a concept whereby companies integrate social and environmental concerns in their business operations and in their interaction with their stakeholders on a voluntary basis (Commission of the European Communities, 2001).

The majority of Hellenic-owned publicly listed companies apply a corporate governance model based on a concentrated ownership structure with major shareholders directly represented on the Board of Directors or even holding managerial positions themselves as it has been pointer out by Theotokas (2007); Harlaftis and Theotokas (2004); Thalassinos (2010); Zamplas (2011) and Thalassinos and Zamplas (2012). The family tends to have a high degree of control, either through large ownership stakes or through strong influence on decisions.

Syriopoulos and Theotokas (2007) investigated the implications of corporate governance mechanisms for shareholder value in corporate takeovers, adopting an 'event study' methodology for Stelmar Shipping Ltd, an international tanker company, publicly listed on the NYSE since March 2001.

Syriopoulos (2007) has found that the fundamental business strategy of shipping companies in recent years is the gradual shift from simple profit maximization to the increment of firm market value. This is probably the model followed by the shipping industry because of the developments in the global financial environment.

III. EUROZONE DEVELOPMENTS

A change in the way the European Union measures Gross Domestic Product (GDP) has left governments with slightly smaller deficits and debts. Many governments increased their borrowing and thus sovereign debt levels, while at the same time the tax revenues declined. The expenditures increased and the fiscal deficits were enlarged.

The most significant change is that spending on research and development—whether by companies or the government—will be counted as an investment that creates value or assets for the future, just like spending on new machinery or infrastructure. Previously, this was recorded as “intermediate consumption” meaning it was deemed to be consumed at the end of each year or quarter. Another boost to GDP figures will come from a similar change in the treatment of military expenditure, which will also be viewed as an investment for the future.

The average fiscal deficit in Eurozone in 2007 was only 0.6 per cent before it augmented to 7.0 per cent during the financial crisis as it is shown in Figure 1 below.

In the period 1995-2011 the Eurozone Budget Deficit curve has three clear segments. From 1995 to 2000 there was a remarkable decrease in budget deficit from 8% to 0%, then an increase to almost 4% in 2004 and back to 1% in 2007. The Eurozone crisis created high deficits again in the period of 2008-2010, the figure was close to 7% and back again to 4% in 2011.

Figure 1: The Fiscal Deficit in Eurozone as a EUBDEURO Index

Source: Eurostat 2012, the statistical office of the European Union

Generally, Government Debt as a percent of GDP is used by investors to measure a country’s ability to make future payments on its debt, thus affecting the country borrowing costs and government bond yields.

The budget deficit in the Eurozone, as an Optimum Currency Area (OCA), as shown in Figure 2 is also important to be mentioned. Needless to say that the Eurozone sovereign debt to gross domestic product ratio for the year 2010 reached 85 per cent, almost 90 per cent in 2012, which is about the same level with that of the US economy, however much above the 60 per cent level of the Maastricht Treaty. Furthermore, the debt of the private sector across Eurozone is significantly higher compared to highly force Anglo-Saxon economies.
IV. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

From a theoretical or an empirical point of view, many studies analyze the linkages among national stock market indices. The empirical results usually testify to significant correlation between markets located in near geographic areas. This is frequently attributed, among others, to a number of different factors such as the relaxation of controls on capital movements and foreign exchange transactions, improvements in computer and communication technology that have lowered the cost of cross border information flows and financial transactions and expansion in the multinational operations of major corporations.

This globalization of financial transaction has meant that stock markets are becoming more synchronized and the adjustment delays in international prices are increasingly shorter (Thalassinos et al. 2013) These developments have affected the shipping financing criteria, the availability of funds as well as the corporate structure of the shipping industry.

Based on the market analysis conducted for this research, a Corporate Governance Index in Shipping has been calculated consisting of five major items (five sub-indices as described below) related to CG as they are indicated in the definition given by OECD (May 1999) according to which: “Corporate governance is the system by which business corporations are directed and controlled. The corporate governance structure specifies the distribution of rights and responsibilities among different participants in the corporation, such as, the board, managers, shareholders and other stakeholders, and spells out the rules and procedures for making decisions on corporate affairs. By doing this, it also provides the structure through which the company objectives are set, and the means of attaining those objectives and monitoring performance. “In general, it refers broadly to the rules, processes, or laws by which businesses are operated, regulated, and controlled”.

Corporate Governance is crucial in every major strategic and operational decision (Lambertides and Louca, 2008).

V. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

Corporate Governance

A Corporate Governance Index (CGI) in Shipping has been used and is consisting of five sub-indices, the Board of Directors index, the Ownership index, the Procedures index, the Transparency index and the Defense index following Thalassinos (2010). The present study has used the results of a market research consisting of 56 questionnaires among top executives in the Greek shipping industry covering the period 2001-2015. Based on this analysis we have concluded the following results:

Conclusions Based on CGI and Financial Indices for all Shipping Companies participating in the sample.

2011: Total Sample:
Significant variables for ROE: External investor share >10%, Ownership index and Defense index.

Significant variables for ROA: CEO Chairman of BoD, CEO ownership, External investor share >10%, Ownership index.

Significant variables for TOBINS’Q: Members of BoD, External investor share >10%.

Significant variables for MARKET CAP: Members of BoD, CEO share < 10%, External investor share >10%, ownership index, procedures index, CG index.

Significant variables for STOCK PERF: External investor share >10%.

2012: Total Sample:
Significant variables for ROE: % of non-Exec BoD members, External investor share >10%.

Significant variables for ROA: External investor share >10%.

Significant variables for TOBINS’Q: CG index.

Significant variables for MARKET CAP: Members of BoD, External investor share >10%, CG index.

Significant variables for STOCK PERF: External investor share >10%.

Based on the findings above the final conclusion is that the External investor share> 10% is by far the MOST important variable for the TOTAL SAMPLE’s financial indices.

Conclusions Based on CGI and Financial Indices for the Greek Shipping Companies participating in the sample.

2011: Greek Shipping Companies Sample:
Significant variables for ROE: External investor share >10%, Ownership index and Defense index.

Significant variables for ROA: CEO Chairman of BoD, CEO ownership, External investor share >10%, Ownership index.

Significant variables for TOBINS’Q: Members of BoD, External investor share >10%.

Significant variables for MARKET CAP: Members of BoD, CEO share < 10%, External investor share >10%, Ownership index, Procedures index, CG index.
Significant variables for STOCK PERF: External investor share >10%.

2012: Greek Shipping Companies Sample:
Significant variables for ROE: % of non-exec BoD members, External investor share >10%.

Significant variables for ROA: External investor share >10%.

Significant variables for TOBINS’Q: CG index.

Significant variables for MARKET CAP: Members of BoD, External investor share >10%, CG index.

Significant variables for STOCK PERF: External investor share >10%.

As in the case above referring to the total sample of shipping industries participating in the sample the External investor share > 10% is by far the MOST important variable for the GREEK SHIPPING COMPANIES SAMPLE’s financial indices.

Econometric Analysis
In addition to the analysis above regarding the Corporate Governance Index, the present study has analyzed macroeconomic and international trade figures in order to justify other findings from the initial research in general and the existence of a structural change in particular using Panel Data Analysis. In fact it has analyzed two different groups of countries in Eurozone, the South West Euro Area Periphery-5 consisting of Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece and Spain (SWEAP-5 or PIIGS) and the North Euro Area Center-6 consisting of Belgium, Germany, France, Austria, Finland and the Netherlands (NEAC-6 or CORE). The results are shown in Tables 1-6 below.

Conclusions Based on Econometric Analysis of International Trade with Panel Data Analysis Fixed Effect without Cross Section (Tables 1-2).

Table 1: Model 1.1: Fixed Effects without Cross Section SWEAP-5
Dependent Variable: CA?
Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section SUR)
Date: 01/24/15  Time: 21:45
Sample (adjusted): 2003 2013
Included observations: 11 after adjustments
Cross-sections included: 5
Total pool (balanced) observations: 55
Iterate coefficients after one-step weighting matrix

Convergence achieved after 34 total coef iterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.011037</td>
<td>0.007743</td>
<td>-1.425329</td>
<td>0.1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROG?</td>
<td>-0.199927</td>
<td>0.063793</td>
<td>-3.133991</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF?</td>
<td>-0.552263</td>
<td>0.126247</td>
<td>-4.374466</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA?(-1)</td>
<td>0.556845</td>
<td>0.125483</td>
<td>4.437604</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

@RECODE(@YEAR>2011, 1, 0)
AR(1) 0.27530 0.05734 4.801344 0.0000

Fixed Effects (Cross)
EL-C -0.13798
ES-C 0.000167
PT-C -0.13493
IT-C 0.009898
IE-C 0.009898

Effects Specification
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

Weighted Statistics

R-squared 0.963320 Mean dependent var 2.115854
Adjusted R-squared 0.956984 S.D. dependent var 5.612493
S.E. of regression 1.069684 Sum squared resid 51.49003
F-statistic 131.3139 Durbin-Watson stat 2.038454
Prob(F-statistic) 0.000000

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared 0.921691 Mean dependent var 0.046982
Sum squared resid 0.0039111 Durbin-Watson stat 1.906149

Table 2: Model 2.1: Fixed Effects without Cross Section NEAC-6
Dependent Variable: CA?
Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section SUR)
Date: 01/24/15  Time: 22:20
Sample: 2001 2013
Included observations: 13
Cross-sections included: 6
Total pool (balanced) observations: 78
Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG?</td>
<td>0.23766</td>
<td>0.03932</td>
<td>7.008231</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.03762</td>
<td>0.001526</td>
<td>24.69214</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF?</td>
<td>-0.47376</td>
<td>0.070118</td>
<td>-6.75697</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECODE@YEAR&gt;200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, 1, 0</td>
<td>-0.004686</td>
<td>0.001210</td>
<td>-3.880988</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fixed Effects (Cross)

BE-C       | -0.017072   |            |             |        |
DE-C       | 0.020274    |            |             |        |
FR-C       | -0.035109   |            |             |        |
AT-C       | -0.005971   |            |             |        |
FI-C       | 0.001234    |            |             |        |
NL-C       | 0.036554    |            |             |        |

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

Weighted Statistics

R-squared  | 0.953910    | Mean dependent var | 3.510535   |
Adjusted R-squared | 0.946567    | S.D. dependent var  | 5.287819   |
S.E. of regression | 1.033137    | Sum squared resid  | 73.64674   |
F-statistic     | 178.5099    | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.745497   |
Prob(F-statistic)| 0.000000    |                     |            |

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared  | 0.586742    | Mean dependent var | 0.028836   |
Sum squared resid | 0.031379    | Durbin-Watson stat | 0.426758   |

Conclusions Based on Econometric Analysis of International Trade with Panel Data Analysis Fixed Effect with Cross Section (Tables 3-4).

Table 3: Model 1.2: Fixed Effects with Cross Section, SWEAP-5
Dependent Variable: CA?
Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section SUR)
Date: 01/29/15  Time: 12:11
Sample (adjusted): 2002 2013
Included observations: 12 after adjustments
Cross-sections included: 5
Total pool (balanced) observations: 60
Iterate coefficients after one-step weighting matrix
Convergence achieved after 23 total coef iterations

Table 4: Model 2.2: Fixed Effects with Cross Section NEAC-6
Dependent Variable: CA?
Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section SUR)
Date: 01/17/15  Time: 23:13
Sample: 2001 2013
Included observations: 13
Cross-sections included: 6
Total pool (balanced) observations: 78
Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix
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Table 6: Model 2.3: Fixed Effects without Time Variable (Dummy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.0110805</td>
<td>0.002847</td>
<td>3.796451</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROG?</td>
<td>-0.372926</td>
<td>0.039462</td>
<td>-10.96651</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF?</td>
<td>-0.216651</td>
<td>0.075932</td>
<td>-2.863432</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)</td>
<td>0.971934</td>
<td>0.047225</td>
<td>20.58089</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effects Specification:
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

| R-squared | 0.659874 | Mean dependent var | 0.000000 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.654472 | S.D. dependent var | 0.000000 |
| S.E. of regression | 1.021577 | Sum squared resid | 1.138711 |
| F-statistic | 177.7012 | Durbin-Watson stat | 0.000000 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |               | 0.000000 |

Unweighted Statistics

| R-squared | 0.861323 | Mean dependent var | 0.046350 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.014368 | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.675619 |

Table 5: Model 1.3: Fixed Effects without Time Variable (Dummy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG?</td>
<td>0.119380</td>
<td>0.036500</td>
<td>3.270674</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.013171</td>
<td>0.001726</td>
<td>7.883323</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF?</td>
<td>-0.330866</td>
<td>0.056307</td>
<td>-5.202074</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)</td>
<td>0.711229</td>
<td>0.050311</td>
<td>14.13870</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effects Specification:
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

| R-squared | 0.650982 | Mean dependent var | 1.816999 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.644656 | S.D. dependent var | 4.096968 |
| S.E. of regression | 1.048389 | Sum squared resid | 69.24450 |
| F-statistic | 152.4855 | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.326835 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |               | 0.000000 |

Unweighted Statistics

| R-squared | 0.879468 | Mean dependent var | 0.029306 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.008764 | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.203360 |

Conclusions

The main conclusions in this article can be summarized as follows: The shipping industry has adjusted its financial structure accordingly following the latest developments in the global financial market as well as the developments regarding issues in corporate governance. Eurozone countries followed a different adjustment process in their international trade during the debt crisis. It seems that the two different groups of countries SWEAP-5 and NEAC-6, have applied different
models in international trade balances using three different types of models: Panel Data Analysis with a Common Dummy, Panel Data with a Cross Section Dummy and Panel Data without Dummy and two different methods of estimation. The Fixed Effects Models and the Random Effects Models. During the study period we have found two different structural changes one in 2011 for SWAP-5 and one in 2007 for NEAC-6. Moreover, this study has proved different effects regarding the benefits after the establishment of the Eurozone and different effects regarding the financial crisis in the Eurozone between the two groups. Greece and France, from the two groups, seems to be the countries that have been hit most from the crisis.
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