Higher Order Hmm Based Group Replacement Model Considering Macroeconomic Variable

Srinivas Basamgari, Dr.S. Gajanana, Dr. K. Hemachandra Reddy

Abstract— Block or group replacement model is developed to the objects that fail completely on utilization. Industrial item/equipment gets worn with time and usage and it functions with decreasing efficiency. The increasing repair and maintenance cost demands the replacement of items. In the present work an intermediate state called repairable state in between working and breakdown state is taken into consideration and Higher Order Hidden Markov Model (HMM) concept is applied in generating the probabilities of items falling in different states. Replacement decision is made considering macroeconomic variable, "inflation".

Index Terms—Group Replacement, HMM, Inflation

I. INTRODUCTION

The replacement problems are concern with the situation that arise when the efficiency of item decreases, failure or breakdown occurs. The decrease in efficiency or breakdown may be either gradual or sudden. The situation which demands the replacement of items are

- When the cost of maintenance is increasing considerably
- 2. The existing equipment fails completely
- 3. Technologically better equipment is available

Block or Group replacement is concerned with those items that either work or fail completely. It often happens that a system contains a large number of identical low cost items that are increasingly liable to failure with age. In such cases, there is a set-up cost for replacement that is independent of the number replaced and it may be advantageous to replace all items at fixed intervals. Such a policy is called *group replacement* and is particularly attractive when the value of any individual item is so small that the cost of keeping records of individual ages cannot be justified.

II. MACROECONOMIC VARIABLE: INFLATION

Economists have defined the term inflation in different ways. According to Irving Fisher "Inflation occurs when the supply of money actively bidding goods and services increases faster than the available supply of goods". Inflation leads to Inflationary spiral. When prices rise, workers demand higher wages. Higher wages lead to higher costs. Higher costs lead to

Manuscript received Sep 19, 2016

Srinivas Basamgari, Manager, JDA Software India Ltd., Hyderabad-500032, Telangana State, India

Dr.S. Gajanana, Professor & Director, Mechanical Engineering Department, M.V.S.R. Engineering College, Hyderabad-501510, Telangana State, India

Dr. K. Hemachandra Reddy, Professor, MED, JNTUA, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India

higher prices. Higher prices again lead to higher wages, higher costs and so on. Thus prices, wages, costs chase each other leading to hyper-inflation. According to Quantity of money theorists, inflation is caused by excessive issue of money. According to demand and supply theorists, it is caused by total demand exceeding the total supply of goods and services. Inflation of future periods considered are shown in table-1 and are used for calculation of real interest rates.

FORECASTED INFLATION FOR FUTURE PERIODS

Period (n)	1	2	3	4	5
Inflation (\phit)	3.40	3.80	4.04	4.37	4.69
Period (n)	6	7	8	9	10
Inflation (ϕ_t)	5.00	5.33	5.65	6.03	6.29
Period (n)	11	12	13	14	15
Inflation	6.94	7.26	7.58	7.91	8.23

III. HIDDEN MARKOV MODEL (HMM)

Hidden Markov Model is a doubly stochastic process with an underlying stochastic process that is not observable (Hidden in nature), but can only be observed through another set of stochastic process that produce the sequence of observed symbols. HMM are an extension of Markov process. A Markov process is a random process of discrete valued variable involving a number of states linked by a number of possible transitions.

Various parameters that constitute the structure of HMM are

1) N: Finite number of states that are not visible (hidden) and are represented as

$$S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, ... S_N\}$$

2) M: Number of distinct observations symbols(states) per hidden state. Individual symbols are represented by

$$V = \{V_1, V_2, V_3, ... V_M\}$$

once the each hidden transition is made, an observation(visible) output state is generated according to a probability distribution which depends on the parent state.

3) A: Hidden state transition probability matrix

Higher Order Hmm Based Group Replacement Model Considering Macroeconomic Variable

$$A = \{ \ a_{ij} \} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccccccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & - & - & a_{1N} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & - & - & a_{2N} \\ - & - & - & - & - \\ a_{N1} & a_{N2} & - & - & a_{NN} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$a_{ij} = P[q_t = S_i | q_{t-1} = S_i]$$
 $1 \le i, j \le N$

Where q_t denotes the actual hidden states at time 't', a_{ii} is the probability of moving from state S_i to S_i at time 't' and $\sum a_{ij} = 1$ for all 'i'

4) B: Observation symbol (visible states) probability distribution or output emission probability

$$B = \{ b_{jk} \} = P[o_t = V_m \square q_t = S_j]$$

$$1 \le k \le M, 1 \le j \le N$$

where o_t is the observation at time 't' and 'j' is state After each transition is made a symbol will come out based on the output probability distribution which depends on the resent state. $\sum b_{ik} = 1$ for all 'j'

5) π : Initial state distribution

 $\pi = [\pi_i]$ in which $\pi_i = P(q_i = S_i), 1 \le i \le N$ π_i is the initial probability matrix which stores the probability of the system. Starting at state 'i' in an observation. It is the probability of being in state 'i' at t = 1. The complete representation of HMM is made as $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$

IV. HIGHER ORDER TRANSITION PROBABILITY MATRIX OF THREE - STATE HIDDEN MARKOV MODEL USING SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION **METHOD**

If 'A' represents the three-state transition probability matrix, then the higher order transition probabilities are obtained by the following procedure.

Procedure:

- 1. Determine the eigen values of the transition probability matrix 'A' by solving $|A-\lambda I| = 0$
- 2. If all eigen values say λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_k are distinct then obtain k-column vectors $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_k)$ corresponding to the k-eigen values by solving

 $AX = X \text{ (where } X \neq 0 \text{)}$

3. Denote these column vectors (eigen vectors by matrix Q) where

$$Q = \| x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \|$$
and obtain Q⁻¹

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Compute D.

6. Higher order Transition Probability Matrix (TPM) of Three-State Markov can be computed using the following equation

$$A^n = Q D^n Q^{-1}$$

V. DEVELOPMENT OF MODEL

In the present work concept of Hidden Markov Model is adopted for group replacement policy. The assumptions involved in development of model are:

- In group replacement decisions, in addition to the functioning state and failure state, an intermediate state called repairable breakdown state is introduced.
- This model can be applied to a system which consists of N₁ items in three different states and to find out the group replacement period. Ex: For maintenance of cutting tools in manufacturing industry
- Repair cost or rectification cost of any item is constant.
- The item has three hidden states and three observable
- The failure of items is assumed to follow Weibull distribution

Notations

 N_1 = Total number of items.

 C_1 = Individual replacement cost per item.

 C_2 = Group replacement cost per item.

 C_3 = Repair cost of the item.

 r_n = Nominal interest rate assumed to be constant during the life span of the item,

 $v = Present worth factor = 1 / (1 + r_n)$

 X_0^{I} = Proportion of items in functional state.

 X_0^{II} = Proportion of items in repairable breakdown state. X_0^{III} = Proportion of items in irreparable breakdown state.

 X_i^{I} = Probability of items in functional state in i^{th} period.

 X_i^{II} = Probability of items in repairable breakdown state in ith period.

 $X_i^{\hat{I}II}$ = Probability of items in irreparable breakdown state in

a_{ik}= Probability of items transitioned from jth state to kth state A(t) = Average cost per period in t^{th} group replacement policy.

A = Transition probability matrix

Transition probability matrix or the generator of Hidden Markov Process can be represented as

International Journal of Engineering Research And Management (IJERM) ISSN: 2349- 2058, Volume-03, Issue-09, September 2016

$$\mathbf{A} \ = \ \begin{array}{c} I \\ III \\ \theta_{11} \\ \theta_{21} \\ \theta_{22} \\ \theta_{31} \\ \theta_{32} \\ \theta_{33} \end{array} \right]$$

I = Functional State

II = Repairable breakdown state

III = Irreparable breakdown state

The considered observable states are Good, Moderate, Worst

Probability of items in different states can be computed as = (probability of items in different states in initial period) $*A^n$

where n = 1, 2, 3...

$$\pi_{i+1} = \pi_i * A^n \quad i = 0,1,2...n-1$$

Where
$$\pi_i = [X_i^I X_i^{II} X_i^{III}]$$

The values of $A^1, A^2, \dots A^i$ can be calculated by spectral decomposition method and using transition probability matrices, probabilities of items falling in different states i.e. $X_i^I, X_i^{II}, X_i^{III}$ in ith period are to be calculated. By using MATLAB Software probabilities of items falling in different states for future periods are calculated.

Number of individual replacement

$$\begin{array}{l} {{1}^{st}period,}\;\;{{f}_{1}}={{N}_{1}}\;\;{{X}_{1}}^{III}\\ {{2}^{nd}period}\;,\;{{f}_{2}}={{N}_{1}}\;\;{{X}_{2}}^{III}+{{f}_{1}}\;{{X}_{1}}^{III}\\ {{3}^{rd}period}\;,\;\;{{f}_{3}}={{N}_{1}}\;{{X}_{3}}^{III}+{{f}_{1}}\;{{X}_{2}}^{III}+{{f}_{2}}\;{{X}_{1}}^{III}\\ {{4}^{th}period}\;,\;\;{{f}_{4}}={{N}_{1}}\;\;{{X}_{4}}^{III}+{{f}_{1}}\;{{X}_{3}}^{III}+{{f}_{2}}\;{{X}_{2}}^{III}+{{f}_{3}}\;{{X}_{1}}^{III}\\ \end{array}$$

Number of repairable breakdowns

...

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{1}^{st} period, & g_{1} = & N_{1} & X_{1}^{II} \\ \mathbf{2}^{nd} period \,, & g_{2} = & N_{1} & X_{2}^{II} + g_{1} & X_{1}^{II} \\ \mathbf{3}^{rd} period \,, & g_{3} = & N_{1} & X_{3}^{II} + g_{1} & X_{2}^{II} + g_{2} & X_{1}^{II} \\ \mathbf{4}^{th} period \,, & g_{4} = & N_{1} & X_{4}^{II} + g_{1} & X_{3}^{II} + g_{2} & X_{2}^{II} + g_{3} & X_{1}^{II} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array}$$

Total cost up to 'n' periods

$$\begin{array}{l} F(t) = \ NC_2 + C_1 \ [\ f_1 + f_2 \nu + f_3 \, \nu^2 + \ldots \ldots \ f_n \nu^{n\text{--}1} \] + C_3 \ [\ g_1 + g_2 \\ \nu + g_3 \, \nu^2 \ + \ldots \ldots \ g_n \nu^{n\text{--}1} \] \end{array}$$

Average cost per period

$$A(t) = F(t) / (\Sigma v^{n-1})$$

Maintenance costs in
$$(n+1)^{th}$$
 period = $R(n+1)$
= $C_1 [f_1 + f_2 \nu + \dots f_n \nu^{n-1} + f_{n+1} \nu^n] + C_3 [g_1 + g_2 \nu + \dots g_n \nu^{n-1} + g_{n+1} \nu^n]$
R(t+1) > A(t) is equivalent to A(t+1) > A(t)
Sum of individual replacements and repair costs in (t+1) period should be greater than the average cost in 't' period, to group replace in tth period.

VI. CASE STUDY

This model can be applied for maintenance of cutting tools in a big manufacturing unit. The cutting tools have three hidden sates. The cutting edge tool remains sharp and it removes the material from job successfully falls under functional state. In case of repairable state, the cutting edge gets worn-out and can be brought to working condition by regrinding. When it comes to break down state the cutting tool gets damaged completely and can't be brought to working state. The observable states are classified based on the quality and dimensional accuracy obtained on the job machined by the cutting tool . The initial states probabilities, Initial state transition matrix and emission matrix are given. The cutting tool will have

$$C_1 = Rs.6000$$
; $C_2 = Rs.4000$; $C_3 = Rs.1500$; $N_1 = 600$; $r_n = 20\%$

The generator for Hidden Markov Process can be given as

$$[X_0^{\text{I}} X_0^{\text{II}} X_0^{\text{III}}] \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} & \theta_{13} \\ \theta_{21} & \theta_{22} & \theta_{23} \\ \theta_{31} & \theta_{32} & \theta_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.667 & 0.167 & 0.167 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 & 0.125 & 0.125 \\ 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly $[X_i^I X_i^{II} X_i^{III}] = [X_0^I X_0^{II} X_0^{III}] A^n$

MATLAB Program

```
aij = [0.75 \ 0.125 \ 0.125; \ 0.6 \ 0.1 \ 0.3; \ 0 \ 0 \ 1];
(Initial Transition probability matrix of states)
bjk = [0.8 \ 0.15 \ 0.05; 0.5 \ 0.3 \ 0.2; 0.1 \ 0.3 \ 0.6];
(Initial Emission matrix)
x1 = floor(random('Weibull', 2.8, 20, 1, 10));
x2 = [x1,x1,x1,x1,x1,x1];
[uaij,ubjk] = hmmtrain(x2,aij,bjk);
a=[0.667 \ 0.166 \ 0.167];
(Initial probabilities of states)
Uaij
b=a;
for i=1:15
b=b*uaij∧i
i=i+1;
end
OUTPUT
```

Modified Transition probability matrix of states $uaij = 0.3750 \quad 0.1875 \quad 0.4375$ 0.2000 0.1000 0.7000

Higher Order Hmm Based Group Replacement Model Considering Macroeconomic Variable

0 0 1.0000 Probabilities of states for 15 periods For i = 1, $b = 0.2833 \quad 0.1417 \quad 0.5750$ Fori = 2, b = 0.1346 0.0673 0.7981 For i = 3, $b = 0.0639 \quad 0.0320 \quad 0.9041$ For i = 4, $b = 0.0304 \quad 0.0152 \quad 0.9545$ For i = 5, $b = 0.0144 \quad 0.0072 \quad 0.9784$ For i = 6, $b = 0.0069 \quad 0.0034 \quad 0.9897$ For i = 7, $b = 0.0033 \quad 0.0016 \quad 0.9951$ For i = 8, $b = 0.0015 \quad 0.0008 \quad 0.9977$ For i = 9, $b = 0.0007 \quad 0.0004 \quad 0.9989$ For i = 10, $b = 0.0003 \quad 0.0002 \quad 0.9995$ For i = 11, $b = 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000$ For i = 12, $b = 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000$ For i = 13, $b = 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000$ For i = 14, $b = 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000$ For i = 15, $b = 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000$

TABLE 2 EFFECT OF GRADUAL INCREASE OF INFLATION ON DECISION

1	2	3	4	5
Period n	a) Inflation \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Real interest rate $r_{t} = \frac{(r_{n} - \varphi_{t})}{(1 + \varphi_{t})}$	Present worth factor $v = 1/(1 + r_t)$	Discou nt factor v ⁿ⁻¹
1	3.4	0.1605	0.8617	1.0000
2	3.8	0.1561	0.8650	0.8650
3	4.04	0.1534	0.8670	0.7517
4	4.37	0.1498	0.8698	0.6579
5	4.69	0.1462	0.8724	0.5793
6	5.00	0.1429	0.8750	0.5129
7	5.33	0.1393	0.8778	0.4573
8	5.65	0.1358	0.8804	0.4100
9	6.03	0.1318	0.8836	0.3715
10	6.29	0.1290	0.8858	0.3356
11	6.94	0.1221	0.8912	0.3159
12	7.26	0.1188	0.8938	0.2910
13	7.58	0.1154	0.8965	0.2695
14	7.91	0.1120	0.8993	0.2514

6	7	8	9 = (7 + 8)
Dividing discount factor $\Sigma \nu^{n-1}$	Individual Replacement $f_i \times C_1 \times v^{n-1}$ (Rs)	Repairable Replaceme nt g _i × C ₃ ×v ⁿ⁻¹ (Rs)	Maintenance Cost R _i (Rs)
1.0000	1019880.00	127530.00 0	1147410.0000
1.8650	448910.783	40543.415 9	489454.1993
2.6167	178176.677	10360.214	188536.8922
3.2746 3.8539	71340.9497 28820.8596	2675.4721 696.3805	74016.4218 29517.2401
4.3668 4.8241	11701.8986 4784.4752	182.9564 48.2915	11884.8550 4832.7666
5.2342	5738.5424	137.1395	5875.6819
5.6057 5.9413	9924.2801 17887.0751	260.8278 472.4466	10185.1079 18359.5217
6.2572 6.5482	33677.3309 62029.7934	889.6247 1638.5870	34566.9556 63668.3804
6.8177	114924.294	3035.8550	117960.1490
7.0691	214429.295	5664.3919	220093.6870

10	11	12=(10+11)	13 = (12 / 6)
	Group		Average
$\sum R_i$	Replacement	Total cost	Cost
(Rs)	$N \times C_2 \times v^{n-1}$	TC(t)	A(t)
	(Rs)	(Rs)	(Rs)
1147410.0	2400000.000	3547410.000	3547410.000
000	0	0	0
1636864.1	2076000.000	3712864.199	1990811.903
993	0	3	1
1825401.0	1804053.600	3629454.691	1387040.909
916	0	6	9
1899417.5	1579045.171	3478462.684	1062247.808
134	5	9	1
1928934.7	1390295.306	3319230.060	861262.0543
535	9	3	
1940819.6	1230981.445	3171801.053	726340.6270
085	3	8	
1945652.3	1097580.216	3043232.591	630833.1440
751	8	9	
1951528.0		2935604.938	560852.8543
570	984076.8812	2	
1961713.1		2853356.904	509010.0569
649	891643.7395	4	
1980072.6		2785472.808	468833.6406
866	805400.1214	1	
2014639.6		2772868.970	443147.7665
422	758229.3282	4	
2078308.0		2776593.892	424026.3731
226	698285.8700	6	
2196268.1		2843134.832	417023.1304
716	646866.6610	6	
2416361.8		3019833.749	427185.5949
586	603471.8911	7	

CONCLUSIONS

From decision making table-2 it is observed that the average cost is gradually decreasing up to thirteenth period and for the next period it is increasing suddenly. Economics can be achieved if the group replacement is done at the end of thirteenth period. Since the model is developed using Higher Order Hidden Markov Model better probabilities of various states (Functional, Repairable, and Breakdown) are obtained. Unlike conventional group replacement models this model permits an intermediate state like repairable breakdown stage of item.

REFERENCES

- [1] Xitong Zheng & Nasser Fard (1991): "A Maintenance Policy for Repairable Systems based on Opportunistic Failure-rate Tolerance", IEEE Transactions on Reliability, Vol-40, No.2, June, 1991.
- [2] Isha Bagai and Kanchan Jain (1994): "Improvement, Deterioration and Optimal Replacement Under Age-Replacement With Minimal Repair", IEEE Transactions on Reliability, Vol.43, No.1, P-156 to 162, March, 1994.
- [3] Thomas W. Archibald and Rommert Dekker (1996): "Modified Block-Replacement for Multiple-Component Systems", IEEE Transaction on Reliability, Vol-45, No.1, P-75 to 83, March, 1996.
- [4] Nadamuni Reddy. C and C. Uma Sankar (1996): "Markov-Chains in Group replacement decisions", paper presented at 38th National Convention of Indian Institution of Industrial Engineering, Bombay, December, 1996.
- [5] Nadhamuni Reddy.C.(1997): "Block, Combined influence of inflation and cost of money in replacement decisions", National Conference on Statistical Quality Control, Guntur. India.
- [6] Gerry Trodd (1998): "Practical Implementation of Predictive Maintenance", IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing, P-29 to 37, 1998.
- [7] ToyonariShimakage, Kai Wu, Takeyoshi Kato, Tatsuki Okamoto and YasuoSuzuoki (2002): "Economic Evaluation of Cable Replacement Considering Annual Failure Probability", Conference Record of the 2002, IEEE International Symposium on Electrical Insulation, Boston, MA USA, P-1472 to 475, April, 2002.
- [8] Jong Min Lee, Seung-Jong Kim, Chang-Seop Song &Yoha Hwang (2003): "Diagnosis of mechanical fault signals using continuous hidden Markov model" Journal of Sound and Vibration 276 (2004) 1065–1080, Elsevier, August 2003.
- [9] S.Gajanana, Dr. G. Krishnaiah& Dr. C.Nadhamuni Reddy (2005): "Prediction of Inflation using Fore casting Techniques for Developing Societies" International conference on Operations Research Applications in Infrastructure Development (ICORAID-2005), IISc, Bangalore, India.
- [10] C. Richard Cassady and ErhanKutanoglu (2005): Integrating Preventive Maintenance Planning and Production Scheduling for a Single Machine", IEEE Transactions on reliability, Vol-54, No.2, June, 2005.
- [11] RueyHaueiYeh, Gaung-Chen and Ming-Yuh Chen (2005): "Optimal Age-Replacement Policy for Non repairable Products Under Renewing Free-Replacement

- Warranty", IEEE Transactions on Reliability, Vol.54, No.1, P-92 to 97, March 2005.
- [12] Antonio G. Vallejo Jr., Juan A. Nolazco-Flores, Rub'en Morales-Men'endez, L. Enrique Sucar&Ciro A. Rodr'iguez (2005): "Tool-Wear Monitoring Based on Continuous Hidden Markov Models" Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2005.
- [13] S.Gajanana, Dr. G. Krishnaiah& Dr. C.Nadhamuni Reddy (2006): "Development of Mathematical Model For Inflation Prediction Using Forecasting Technique" Industrial Engineering Journal, Vol. XXXV NO.5, May-2006, P-28 to 31.
- [14] A. A. Kassim, Zhu Mian&M. A. Mannan: "Tool condition classification using Hidden Markov Model based on fractal analysis of machined surface textures" Machine Vision and Applications (2006) 17:327–336, Springer, July 2006.
- [15] S.Gajanana, Dr. G. Krishnaiah& Dr. C.Nadhamuni Reddy (2006): "Development Of Replacement Model Using Predicted Inflation" Indian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences.
- [16] Tony Boutros, & Ming Liang (2011): "Detection and diagnosis of bearing and cutting tool faults using hidden Markov models" Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 25 (2011) 2102–2124, Elsevier, February 2011.